Book Policy Manual

Section Vol. 17, No. 2

Title REVISED POLICY - VOL. 17, NO. 2 - PROCUREMENT - FEDERAL GRANTS/FUNDS

Number po6325

Status From Neola

REVISED POLICY - VOL. 17, NO. 2

6325 - PROCUREMENT - FEDERAL GRANTS/FUNDS

Procurement of all supplies, materials, equipment, and services paid for from Federal funds or District matching funds shall be made in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and local statutes and/or regulations, the terms and conditions of the Federal grant, School Board policies, and administrative procedures.

The Superintendent shall maintain a procurement and contract administration system in accordance with the USDOE requirements (2 C.F.R. 200.317-.326) for the administration and management of Federal grants and Federally-funded programs. The District shall maintain a contract administration system that requires contractors to perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders. Except as otherwise noted, procurement transactions shall conform to the provisions of the District's documented general purchasing Policy 6320 and AP 6320A.

[SELECT OPTION 1 OR OPTION 2]

[]OPTION 1

The District shall take affirmative steps to assure that minority businesses, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible in accordance with 2 C.F.R. 200.321.

<u>OR</u>

[]OPTION 2

The District shall take affirmative steps to assure that minority businesses, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible. Such diversity outreach efforts shall include:

- A. placing qualified small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises on solicitation lists;
- B. <u>assuring that small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises are solicited whenever they are potential sources;</u>
- C. <u>dividing total requirements</u>, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises;
- D. <u>establishing delivery schedules</u>, where the requirements permits, which encourage participation by small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises;
- E. <u>using the services and assistance, as appropriate, of such organizations as the Small Business Administration and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce; and</u>
- F. requiring the prime contractor, if subcontracts are to be let, to take the affirmative steps listed in paragraphs A through E of this section.

[END OF OPTION]

All District employees, officers, and agents who have purchasing authority shall abide by the standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the actions of its employees, officers, and agents engaged in the selection, award, and administration of contracts as established in Policy 1129, Policy 3129, and Policy 4129 – Conflict of Interest.

The District will avoid acquisition of unnecessary or duplicative items. Additionally, consideration shall be given to consolidating or breaking out procurements to obtain a more economical purchase. And, where appropriate, an analysis shall be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine the most economical approach. These considerations are given as part of the process to determine the allowability of each purchase made with Federal funds.

To foster greater economy and efficiency, the District may enter into State and local intergovernmental agreements where appropriate for procurement or use of common or shared goods and services.

Competition

All procurement transactions shall be conducted in a manner that encourages full and open competition and that is in accordance with good administrative practice and sound business judgement. In order to promote objective contractor performance and eliminate unfair competitive advantage, the District shall exclude any contractor that has developed or drafted specifications, requirements, statements of work, or invitations for bids or requests for proposals from competition for such procurements.

Some of the situations considered to be restrictive of competition include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. unreasonable requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business
- B. unnecessary experience and excessive bonding requirements
- C. noncompetitive contracts to consultants that are on retainer contracts
- D. organizational conflicts of interest
- E. specification of only a "brand name" product instead of allowing for an "or equal" product to be offered and describing the performance or other relevant requirements of the procurement
- F. any arbitrary action in the procurement process

Further, the District does not use statutorily or administratively imposed State, local, or tribal geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals, unless (1) an applicable Federal statute expressly mandates or encourages a geographic preference; or (2) the District is contracting for architectural and engineering services, in which case geographic location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract.

[Drafting Note: The District shall allow vendors not on the pre-qualified list to apply for placement on the list periodically. The District may determine how frequently the pre-qualified list becomes open for new vendors or whether it is open continuously.]

Solicitation Language

The District shall require that all solicitations incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product, or service to be procured. Such description shall not, in competitive procurements, contain features which unduly restrict competition. The description may include a statement of the qualitative nature of the material, product, or service to be procured and, when necessary, shall set forth those minimum essential characteristics and standards to which it shall conform if it is to satisfy its intended use. Detailed product specifications should be avoided if at all possible.

When it is impractical or uneconomical to make a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements, a "brand name or equivalent" description may be used as a means to define the performance or other salient requirements of procurement. The specific features of the named brand which shall be met by offers shall be clearly stated; and identify all requirements which the offerors shall fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.

The Board will not approve any expenditure for an unauthorized purchase or contract.

Procurement Methods

The District shall utilize the following methods of procurement:

[] Micro-purchases

| Procurement by micro-purchase is the acquisition of supplies or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which does not exceed \$ (not to exceed \$3,500). To the extent practicable, the District shall distribute micro-purchases equitably among qualified suppliers. Micro- purchases may be made without soliciting competitive quotations if Superintendent considers the price to be reasonable. The District maintains evidence of this reasonableness in the records of all purchases made by this method. |
|--|
| [] Small Purchases |
| Small purchase procedures provide for relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, and other property that does not exceed the competitive bid threshold of \$ Small purchase procedures require that price or rate quotations shall be obtained from () () an adequate number of qualified sources. |
| [] Sealed Bids |
| Sealed, competitive bids shall be obtained when the purchase of, and contract for, single items of supplies, materials, or equipment which amounts to \$ and when the Board determines to build, repair, enlarge, improve, or demolish a school building/facility the cost of which will exceed \$ [NOTE: The Board may set a threshold less than the \$50,000.00 established in State Board rule, however the amount selected should be consistent with Policy 6320.] |
| In order for sealed bidding to be feasible, the following conditions shall be present: |
| 1. a complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available; |
| 2. two (2) or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business; and |
| 3. the procurement lends itself to a firm fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price. |
| When sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply: |
| Bids shall be solicited in accordance with the provisions of State law and Policy 6320. Bids shall be solicited from () () an adequate number of qualified suppliers, providing sufficient response time prior to the date set for the opening of bids. The invitation to bid shall be publicly advertised. |
| 2. The invitation for bids will include product/contract specifications and pertinent attachments and shall define the items and/or services required in order for the bidder to properly respond. |
| 3. All bids will be opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids; bids will be opened publicly. |
| 4. A firm fixed price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs shall be considered in determining which bid is lowest. Payment discounts may only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken. |
| 5. The Board reserves the right to reject any or all bids for sound documented reason. |
| [] Competitive Proposals |
| Procurement by competitive proposal, normally conducted with more than one source submitting an offer, is generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids or in the case of a recognized exception to the sealed bid method. [Drafting Note: Like sealed bids, Federal law does not require a competitive proposal unless the procurement is for over \$150,000. The State/District may set a lower threshold for sealed bids and competitive proposals. The Board may set a threshold less than the \$50,000.00 established in State Board rule. (see Policy 6320)] |
| If this method is used, the following requirements apply: |
| 1. Requests for proposals shall be publicized and identify all evaluation factors and their relative importance. Any response to the publicized requests for proposals shall be considered to the maximum extent practical. |
| 2. Proposals shall be solicited from an () () adequate number of sources. |
| The District shall use its written method for conducting technical evaluations of the proposals received and for selecting recipients. |

4. Contracts shall be awarded to the responsible firm whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors considered.

The District may use competitive proposal procedures for qualifications- based procurement of architectural/engineering (A/E) professional services whereby competitors' qualifications are evaluated and the most qualified competitor is selected, subject to negotiation of fair and reasonable compensation. The method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms are a potential source to perform the proposed effort.

[] Noncompetitive Proposals

Procurement by noncompetitive proposals allows for solicitation of a proposal from only one source and may be used only when one or more of the following circumstances apply:

- 1. the item is available only from a single source
- 2. the public exigency or emergency for the requirement will not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation
- 3. the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity expressly authorizes noncompetitive proposals in response to a written request from the District
- 4. after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined to be inadequate

Contract/Price Analysis

The District shall perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action in excess of \$150,000, including contract modifications. A cost analysis generally means evaluating the separate cost elements that make up the total price, while a price analysis means evaluating the total price, without looking at the individual cost elements.

The method and degree of analysis is dependent on the facts surrounding the particular procurement situation; however, the District shall come to an independent estimate prior to receiving bids or proposals.

When performing a cost analysis, the District shall negotiate profit as a separate element of the price. To establish a fair and reasonable profit, consideration is given to the complexity of the work to be performed, the risk borne by the contractor, the contractor's investment, the amount of subcontracting, the quality of its record of past performance, and industry profit rates in the surrounding geographical area for similar work.

Time and Materials Contracts

The District uses a time and materials type contract only (1) after a determination that no other contract is suitable; and (2) if the contract includes a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk. Time and materials type contract means a contract whose cost to the District is the sum of the actual costs of materials, and direct labor hours charged at fixed hourly rates that reflect wages, general and administrative expenses, and profit.

Since this formula generates an open-ended contract price, a time-and-materials contract provides no positive profit incentive to the contractor for cost control or labor efficiency. Therefore, the District sets a ceiling price for each contract that the contractor exceeds at its own risk. Further, the District shall assert a high degree of oversight in order to obtain reasonable assurance that the contractor is using efficient methods and effective cost controls.

Suspension and Debarment

The District will awards contracts only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of the proposed procurement. All purchasing decisions shall be made in the best interests of the District and shall seek to obtain the maximum value for each dollar expended. When making a purchasing decision, the District shall consider such factors as (1) contractor integrity; (2) compliance with public policy; (3) record of past performance; and (4) financial and technical resources.

The Superintendent shall have the authority to suspend or debar a person/corporation, for cause, from consideration or award of further contracts. The District is subject to and shall abide by the nonprocurement debarment and suspension regulations implementing Executive Orders 12549 and 12689, 2 C.F.R. Part 180.

Suspension is an action taken by the District that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions and transactions covered under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 C.F.R. chapter 1) for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue. A person so excluded is suspended. (2 C.F.R. Part 180 Subpart G)

Debarment is an action taken by the Superintendent to exclude a person from participating in covered transactions and transactions covered under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 C.F.R. chapter 1). A person so excluded is debarred. (2 C.F.R. Part 180 Subpart H)

The District shall not subcontract with or award subgrants to any person or company who is debarred or suspended. For contracts over \$25,000, the District shall confirm that the vendor is not debarred or suspended by either checking the Federal government's System for Award Management, which maintains a list of such debarred or suspended vendors at www.sam.gov; collecting a certification from the vendor; or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that vendor. (2 C.F.R. Part 180 Subpart C)

Maintenance of Procurement Records

The District maintains records sufficient to detail the history of all procurements. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection, or rejection, and the basis for the contract price (including a cost or price analysis).

© Neola 2017

| Legal | 2 C.F.R. 200.317 |
|-------|------------------|
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.318 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.319 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.320 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.321 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.322 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.323 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.324 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.325 |
| | 2 C.F.R. 200.326 |

Cross References

po1129 - CONFLICT OF INTEREST po3129 - CONFLICT OF INTEREST po4129 - CONFLICT OF INTEREST

po6320 - PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING FOR COMMODITIES AND CONTRACTUAL

SERVICES

ap6320a - PURCHASING

Last Modified by Karen Hladik on June 5, 2018