

**THE  
SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT  
COUNCIL CONSORTIUM**

*A Statewide Legislative Network of  
Small School Districts*

*-Founded 1983-*

**Legislative Priorities  
For the 2019 Regular Session  
of the Florida Legislature**

***DRAFT FOR CONSIDERATION***

**November 2018**

## **Legislators Representing Small School Districts**

(Districts with 24,000 Students or less)

### **Senate Districts**

#### **14 Senate Districts Include Small School Districts**

Senate District 2 – George Gainer	Holmes, Jackson, Walton, and Washington
Senate District 3 – Bill Montford	Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Hamilton, Jefferson, Liberty, Madison, Taylor, and Wakulla
Senate District 4 – Aaron Bean	Nassau
Senate District 5 – Rob Bradley	Baker, Bradford, Columbia, Dixie, Gilchrist, Lafayette, Levy, Suwannee, and Union
Senate District 7 – Travis Hutson	Flagler
Senate District 8 – Keith Perry	Putnam
Senate District 10 – Wilton Simpson	Citrus and Hernando
Senate District 12 – Dennis Baxley	Sumter
Senate District 17 - Debbie Mayfield	Indian River
Senate District 23 – Joe Gruters	Charlotte
Senate District 25 – Gayle Harrell	Martin
Senate District 26 – Ben Albritton	Charlotte, DeSoto, Glades, Hardee, Highlands, and Okeechobee
Senate District 28 – Kathleen Passidomo	Hendry
Senate District 39 – Anitere Flores	Monroe

### **House Districts**

#### **20 House Districts Include Small School Districts**

House District 5 –Brad Drake	Holmes, Jackson, Walton, and Washington
House District 7 - Halsey Beshears	Calhoun, Franklin, Gulf, Jefferson, Lafayette, Liberty, Madison, Taylor, and Wakulla
House District 8 – Ramon Alexander	Gadsden
House District 10 –Chuck Brannon	Baker, Columbia, Hamilton, and Suwannee
House District 11 – Cord Byrd	Nassau
House District 19 – Bobby Payne	Bradford, Putnam, and Union
House District 21 –Chuck Clemons	Dixie, and Gilchrist
House District 22 – Charlie Stone	Levy
House District 24 – Paul Renner	Flagler
House District 33 – Brett Hage	Sumter
House District 34 – Ralph Massullo	Citrus and Hernando
House District 35 – Blaise Ingoglia	Hernando
House District 54 – Erin Grall	Indian River
House District 55 - Cary Pigman	Glades, Highlands, and Okeechobee
House District 56 – Melony Bell	DeSoto, and Hardee
House District 75 – Michael Grant	Charlotte
House District 80 - Byron Donalds	Hendry
House District 82 – MaryLynn Magar	Martin
House District 83 – Toby Overturf	Martin
House District 120 – Holly Raschein	Monroe

## **Small School District Council Consortium**

### **Background**

Established in 1983, the Small School District Council Consortium is a statewide consortium of local elected school officials. The SSDCC was formed for the purpose of implementing a coordinated statewide effort by and for small school districts. The SSDCC's primary focus is to promote small district issues with the Florida Legislature, state elected-officials and state agency leadership.

The SSDCC is a membership organization that represents school districts with 24,000 students or less. Membership also includes State University Lab schools and regional educational consortia established in the statutes.

Each participating school district adopts a resolution and is involved in statewide activities through an adopted legislative program implemented on behalf of the membership.

The SSDCC elects officers and each member district is represented on the Board of Directors through the school superintendent and one school board member designated by the School Board of each member county.

#### **SSDCC OFFICERS**

Chairman Sherrie Raulerson - Superintendent of Schools – Baker County  
Vice Chairman Bobby Pearce – Superintendent of Schools – Wakulla County

#### **Executive Committee Members**

Sam Himmel - Superintendent of Schools - Citrus County  
Scott Bass – Superintendent of Schools - Glades County  
Paul Samuels – School Board Member – Hardee County  
Jan Shoop – School Board Member – Highlands County  
Robbie Edwards - Superintendent of Schools – Lafayette County  
Chris Cowart – School Board Member – Levy County  
Charlotte Gardner – School Board Member - Jackson County

#### **PRIMARY ISSUES FOR THE SSDCC**

Full funding of the Sparsity Supplement  
Special Facility Construction Account Program Funding  
Education Technology Modernization Support  
Increased Funding for School Transportation  
Public Education Capital Outlay Funding  
Local Flexibility - Cost Per Student Station  
Regional Consortia Service Program Funding  
Increase Safe Schools Funding  
Funding for Local Capital Outlay  
Maintaining Sovereign Immunity Limits  
Support for Local Elected Officials and Local Control  
Provide for Meaningful Local Involvement

The SSDCC is represented in Tallahassee by the Governmental Relations firm of Robert P. Jones & Associates. Chris Doolin and Bob Jones provide consulting services to the SSDCC in Tallahassee.

## **Introduction**

The Florida Legislature recognizes that being small and rural has an impact on the delivery of quality educational services throughout the State of Florida.

- The low tax base impacts funds available for instruction and capital outlay needs;
- Access to Technology and High-Speed Internet are limited in certain rural areas;
- Districts have fewer district administrators and staff to implement state and federal initiatives;
- Transportation costs are increased in sparsely populated areas;
- Grants are harder to obtain in smaller districts; and,
- Economy of scale issues present challenges in both staffing and financial impacts.

The Florida Legislature has recognized the challenges faced by rural and small school districts and has responded with several initiatives to assist small and rural districts overcome these challenges.

- Sparsity funding is provided to offset to economy of scale issues;
- Special Facility Construction funding is provided to address construction projects where districts demonstrate critical need and insufficient revenues;
- Regional Consortium Service Organization funding has assisted with important training and program assistance to small, rural districts;
- Base level funding within grant programs, including, Technology and Safe Schools programs; and,
- Compression and Equalization of millage provisions has provided additional funding to offset fiscal limitations within the Florida Education Funding Program.

The SSDCC is appreciative of the Legislative support and submits the following requests in an effort to assist small and rural districts over-come challenges and provide for a quality education.

***The following are major needs faced by School Districts in 2019–***  
***Funding to Provide Teacher Salary Increases***  
***Funding to Keep Pace with Increasing Insurance Costs***  
***Increase funding to fully fund School Safety Requirements***  
***Increase funding to address Mental Health needs for Students and families***  
***Funding for Capital Outlay Needs***

## **SSDCC Priorities for the 2019 Legislative Session**

**Support Full funding of the Sparsity Supplement** – The SSDCC supports full funding of the Sparsity Supplement. The Sparsity Supplement is appropriated as part of the FEFP to be allocated to districts with 24,000 or fewer FTE students pursuant to Section 1011.62(7), Florida Statutes. The formula recognizes the relatively higher operating cost of smaller districts due to sparse student population.

2018-19 funding level for Sparsity formula - \$52,800,000

Full funding of Wealth Adjusted Sparsity formula in FEFP - \$55,786,733

Proposals modifying sparsity statutes should be proposed in a manner that does not reduce funding to districts receiving sparsity funding.

### **Funding for the Special Facility Construction Account Program** - FS 1013.64 –

The Small School District Council Consortium supports funding for the following projects from the 2019 Legislature:

- **Liberty – Recommended by 2014 and 2016 SFCA Committee - 9-12 School**
  - **2019 request – 6,060,895 is needed for 3rd of 3 years**
- **Jackson – Recommended by 2014 and 2016 SFCA Committee - K-8 School –**
  - **2019 request - 19,059,807 is needed for 3rd of 3 years**
- **Gilchrist- Recommended by 2016 and 2017 SFCA Committee – Renovation of Middle/High School - \$16,410,688 is needed.**
  - **2019 request - \$7,205,344 is needed for the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of 3 years.**
- **Bradford – Recommended by 2016 and 2017 SFCA Committee –K-7 School- \$39,534,188 is needed for completion.**
  - **2019 request - \$13,178,063 is needed for 1<sup>st</sup> 3 years.**

**Education Technology**– **The SSDCC supports a base level of funding of \$500,000 for all districts within the Digital Classroom funding program.** The SSDCC recognizes the need for all public schools and school districts to have appropriate, adequate, efficient, and sustainable technology infrastructure, connectivity, and capacity to address, at a minimum, the requirements of the statewide standardized assessments associated with the State of Florida Educational Accountability program. The SSDCC requests that additional funding with a base of \$500,000 be provided to small school districts through the Digital Classroom Appropriation.

**Safe School Funding** – **The SSDCC requests an increase in the safe schools allocation from the current \$161,956,019 to an amount that would fully fund the requirements of SB 7026.**

**Mental Health Funding** – **provide increased funding for Mental Health services for students and families, including funding to the School Districts for school-based needs and increase slots for community mental health agencies for students in need of services as identified by school personnel.**

**Public Education Capital Outlay Funding** - The Florida Legislature should require any local capital outlay revenues generated pursuant to F.S. 1011.71 to be distributed in accordance with Local School Board authority. All recipients of state or local capital outlay dollars should be evaluated and prioritized along with all other local public school facility projects.

**Ad Valorem Millage for Public Education** – The SSDCC recommends continued Compression of local ad valorem revenues where the amount generated at the local level is less than the statewide average. The SSDCC requests restoration of 2.0 mills Local Capital Outlay Millage authority. (F.S. 1011.71(2)).

**Increased Funding for School Transportation** - F.S. 1011.68. – The Small School District Council Consortium requests the Legislature to substantially increase funding for School Transportation. Increased transportation funding is critical due to the impact that it has on student mobility and safety in our rural areas.

**Funding for the Regional Consortia Service Program** – F.S. 1001.451 – The Regional Consortia Program has been an instrumental structure for training, technical assistance and the implementation of complex education initiatives in the small and rural districts. The three regional consortia work closely with representatives of the Department of Education to assure alignment with DOE priorities and State of Florida Education priorities.

Each regional consortium service organization is eligible to receive an incentive grant of \$50,000 per school district to be used for the delivery of services within the participating school districts. The membership of the three consortia totals 35 districts. Current funding is \$1,750,000. Request continuation of full funding.

In addition, support is requested for the special programs requested by the Regional Consortia to enhance teaching and learning in the small districts.

**Revise Cost Per Student Station Caps** – The Florida Legislature should revised the cost per student station caps cited in FS 1013.64(6)(b)1 by allowing local flexibility for the use of local funding to address local needs.

**Florida Retirement System** – The Florida Legislature is requested to maintain current provisions and benefits for employees and retirees participating in the program.

**Local Flexibility** – The Florida Legislature should avoid unfunded mandates or other proposals that would cause undue burden, increase costs, or otherwise negatively impact local school districts by including additional funding and/or provisions allowing flexibility to accommodate local conditions.

**Accountability and Assessment** – Small Districts support accountability through comprehensive assessment of student performance. The State of Florida should take the time necessary to ensure understanding, confidence, and support of the assessment program by parents and educators. The Florida Legislature should continue review and modification of “high stakes” impact of assessment program until validity, alignment, and administrative issues with the Florida Standards Assessment Program are resolved.

**Adults With Disabilities** – the Florida Legislature should provide funding to support job training and job placement services for adults with disabilities.

**Recruitment and Retention** – the Florida Legislature should provide support to ensure the availability of instruction and administrative personnel in Florida’s rural communities.

**School Health Funding** – the Florida Legislature is requested to appropriate School Health funding to school districts for distribution through the district to programs and entities for school health services.

**Hurricane Shelter Hardening Funding** - *the legislature is requested to fund the Florida Department of Education (FDOE) initiative to allocate additional funding for rural school districts to strengthen school facilities, which are often designated by counties as hurricane shelters. In accordance with the Governor’s directive funding is requested to construction plans to ensure that any new construction of schools meets Florida’s high standards for safe use during future storms.*

### **Support for FADSS and the FSBA**

The members of the SSDCC participate in and are supportive of the Florida Association of District School Superintendents and the Florida School Boards Association. The members of the SSDCC support the Legislative Proposals of the FSBA and FADSS on issues of statewide significance.

# A Review of K-12 School Funding from 99-00 through 18-19

(1) Year	(2) State funding within the FEFP	(3) Local Funding within the FEFP	(4) Federal Funding within the FEFP	(5) Total Funding		
99-00	\$6,918,358,522	\$4,269,553,580	NA	\$11,187,912,102		
00-01	\$7,401,942,945	\$4,543,526,312	NA	\$11,945,469,257		
01-02	\$7,327,271,855	\$4,882,671,612	NA	\$12,209,943,467		
02-03	\$7,695,808,666	\$5,338,377,065	NA	\$13,034,185,731		
03-04	\$8,260,409,846	\$5,776,691,992	NA	\$14,037,101,838		
04-05	\$8,766,990,479	\$6,260,556,290	NA	\$15,027,546,769		
05-06	\$9,125,618,593	\$7,129,622,543	NA	\$16,255,241,136		
06-07	\$9,695,029,452	\$8,370,937,262	NA	\$17,989,051,919		
07-08	\$9,709,211,811	\$9,042,132,786	NA	\$18,751,344,667		
08-09	\$8,556,433,157	\$9,363,451,861	NA	\$17,919,885,018		
09-10	\$8,079,525,471	\$9,002,863,782	\$907,920,175	\$17,990,309,428		
10-11	\$8,903,193,397	\$8,216,789,058	\$872,664,689	\$17,992,647,144		
11-12	\$8,709,379,680	\$7,872,211,416	0	\$16,581,591,096		
12-13	\$9,544,792,796	\$7,678,389,743	0	\$17,223,182,539		
13-14	\$10,462,466,741	\$7,835,497,940	0	\$18,297,964,681		
14-15	\$10,647,648,670	\$8,257,084,966	0	\$18,904,733,636		
15-16	\$10,934,111,011	\$8,773,014,331	0	\$19,707,125,342		
16-17	\$11,309,068,374	\$8,877,702,040	0	\$20,186,770,414		
17-18.	\$11,639,931,456	\$8,972,341,390	0	\$20,612,272,846		
18-19	\$11,920,136,409	\$9,173,831,963	0	\$21,093,968,372		
<b>SBE Request for 19-20</b>	<b>\$12,090,605,879</b>	<b>\$9,676,983,184</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$21,767,589,063</b>		
(6) Year	(7) % of State Funding	(8) % of local Funding in FEFP	(9) % of Federal \$ in FEFP	(10) Base Student Allocation	(11) Number of UFTE	(12) Total Funding Per UFTE
99-00	61.84	38.16	-	\$3,227.74	2,328,851.08	\$4,804.05
00-01	61.96	38.04	-	\$3,416.73	2,388,755.80	\$5,000.71
01-02	60.01	39.99	-	\$3,298.48	2,453,549.71	\$4,976.44
02-03	59.04	40.96	-	\$3,537.11	2,497,968.51	\$5,217.92
03-04	58.86	41.15	-	\$3,630.03	2,557,438.44	\$5,488.73
04-05	58.34	41.66	-	\$3,670.26	2,609,593.94	\$5,758.57
05-06	56.14	43.86	-	\$3,742.42	2,641,121,.29	\$6,154.68
06-07	53.47	46.53	-	\$3,981.61	2,638,331.10	\$6,818.35
07-08	51.78	48.22	-	\$4,079.74	2,631,277.10	\$7,126.32
08-09	47.72	52.28	-	\$3,886.14	2,618,006.46	\$6,844.86
09-10	44.90	50.05	5.05	\$3,630.62	2,629,282.97	\$6,842.29
10-11	49.33	45.86	4.82	\$3,623.76	2,642,091.49	\$6,810.10
11-12	52.53	47.66	0	\$3,479.22	2,663,743.54	\$6,224.92
12-13	55.53	44.47	0	\$3,582.98	2,701,751.84	\$6,374.82
13-14	57.18	42.82	0	\$3,752.30	2,698,800.85	\$6,780.04
14-15	56.32	43.68	0	\$4,031.77	2,743,616.78	\$6,890.44
15-16	55.48	44.52	0	\$4,154.45	2,773,673,69	\$7,096.96
16-17	56.02%	43.98%	0	\$4,160.71	2,805,188.49	\$7,196.23
17-18	56.47%	43.53%	0	\$4,203.95	2,821,037.34	\$7,306.63
18-19	56.54%	43.46%	0	\$4,204.42	2,847,829.52	\$7,407.03
<b>SBE Request for 19-20</b>	<b>55.55%</b>	<b>44.45%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$4,323.17</b>	<b>2,861,509.69</b>	<b>\$7,607.03</b>

**Millage Authority from 06-07 through DOE Proposal for 19-20**

	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19	SBE Req. 19-20
Required Local Effort F.S. 1011.62(4)	5.01	4.843	5.136 Note 1	5.288 Note 4	5.380	5.446	5.295	5.183	5.089	4.984	4.638	4.308	4.075	4.075
Maximum DLE F.S. 1011.71(1)– Compression provided up to State Wide Average	.51	.51	.498	.748 Note 3	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748	.748
Additional Discretionary Local Effort If per student millage generates less than \$100 – the district received funding to Provide Up to \$100 per FTE	.25	.25	.25	0 Note 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Super Majority Board Approved Millage –No Cap on Value Generated. (compressed provide up to State Wide Average per FTE)	-	-	-	.25 Note 5	.25 Note 7	0 Note 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Millage in FEFP</b>	<b>5.77</b>	<b>5.603</b>	<b>5.884</b>	<b>6.286</b>	<b>6.378</b>	<b>6.294</b>	<b>6.043</b>	<b>5.931</b>	<b>5.837</b>	<b>5.837</b>	<b>5.386</b>	<b>5.056</b>	<b>4.823</b>	<b>4.823</b>
Capital Outlay Millage Authority F.S. 1011.71(2)	2.0	2.0	1.75 Note 2	1.50 Note 6	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.5	1.5
Special Facility Districts Required Payback (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a)) from Capital Outlay Millage (F.S. 1011.71(2))	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5 Note 10	1.5 Note 11	Total of 4.5 mills Note 12	Total of 4.5 mills Note 12	Total of 4.5 mills Note 12	Total of 4.5 mills Note 12

Notes –

- 08-09 - .25 increase in RLE based on a reduction of Capital Outlay from 2.0 to 1.75.
- 08-09 - .25 shifted to RLE

3. **09-10 – .25 increased in DLE based on a reduction of Capital Outlay from 1.75 to 1.5.**
4. **09-10 - .25 authorized in 08-09 shifted to RLE. RLE reflects increase of .178 due to statewide value of .25 without compression)**
5. **09-10 - .25 established as new authority - Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board; Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay; If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average.**
6. **09-10 – .25 increased in DLE based on a reduction of Capital Outlay from 1.75 to 1.5.**
7. **10-11 - Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board; Must have referendum to continue into 11-12; Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay; If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average).**
8. **11-12 - Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13.**
9. **12-13- Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13.**
10. **14-15 - Districts where 1 mill generates less than \$1,000,000 contribute .75 mills to project instead of 1.5 mills.**
11. **15-16 - Districts where 1 mill generates less than \$2,000,000 contribute .75 mills to project**
12. **16-17 - Districts repay a total of 4.5 mills over period prior to being eligible for another special facility appropriations.**

## **SPARSITY FUNDING**

**Full funding of the Sparsity Supplement would be \$55,786,733.**

Although the Sparsity Supplement was one of the original components included in the design of the FEFP in 1973, funding was not appropriated until 1983. Each year since 1983, the Legislature has made an appropriation for the Sparsity Formula.

FY 83-84	\$3,000,000
FY 84-85	\$4,726,287
FY 85-86	\$9,280,324
FY 86-87	\$15,000,000
FY 87-88	\$27,710,000
FY 88-89	\$30,000,000
FY 89-90	\$12,500,000
FY 90-91	\$15,000,000
FY 91-92	\$20,000,000
FY 92-93	\$20,000,000
FY 93-94	\$20,000,000
FY 94-95	\$20,000,000
FY 95-96	\$20,000,000
FY 96-97	\$20,000,000
FY 97-98	\$20,000,000
FY 98-99	\$30,000,000
FY 99-00	\$30,000,000
FY 00-01	\$31,000,000
FY 01-02	\$31,000,000
FY 02-03	\$31,000,000
FY 03-04	\$31,000,000
FY 04-05	\$31,000,000
FY 05-06	\$35,000,000
FY 06-07	\$35,000,000
FY 07-08	\$39,191,698
FY 08-09	\$39,191,698
FY 08-09-Rev. 3 <sup>rd</sup> calc.	\$38,345,157
FY 09-10	\$35,822,046
FY 10-11	\$35,754,378
FY 11-12	\$35,754,378
FY 12-13	\$35,754,378
FY 13-14	\$45,754,378
FY 14-15	\$48,318,959
FY 15-16	\$52,800,000
FY 16-17	\$52,800,000
FY 17-18	\$52,800,000
FY 18-19	\$52,800,000

## **Regional Consortium Service Organizations**

### **Funding History**

2018-19 Funding - \$1,750,000  
2017-18 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2016-17 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2015-16 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2014-15 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2013-14 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2012-13 Funding - ~~\$1,445,390~~ Vetoed  
2011-12 Funding - \$1,445,390  
2010-11 Funding - \$1,611,465  
2009-10 Funding - \$1,611,465  
2008-09 Funding - \$1,660,750  
2007-08 Funding - \$1,750,000  
2006-07 Funding - \$1,750,000  
2005-06 Funding - \$1,700,000  
2004-05 Funding - \$825,000  
2003-04 Funding - \$775,000  
2002-03 Funding - \$775,000  
2001-02 Funding - \$750,000  
2000-01 Funding - \$750,000  
1999-00 Funding - \$750,000  
1998-99 Funding - \$750,000  
1997-98 Funding - \$750,000  
1996-97 Funding - \$750,000  
1995-96 Funding - \$550,000  
1994-95 Funding - \$750,000

**Status of Funding For Special Facility Construction Account Projects**  
**Funding for the Special Facility Construction Account Program - FS 1013.64 –**

In 2017-18, the Florida Legislature provided \$33,392,727  
 In 2018-19, the four projects are requesting \$45,504,108

<b>School District Projects Recommended by the Special Facility Committee in 2014/14 and reviewed in 2016</b>	<b>Recommended Funding By DOE</b>	<b>Funded to Date</b>	<b>Funding Needed to Complete Projects</b>
<b>Liberty – Recommended by 2014 and 2016 SFCA Committee - New 9-12 School</b>	\$18,182,685 To be funded over 3 years	2015 – Not funded 2016 – Not funded 2017 – \$6,060,895 – 1 <sup>st</sup> of 3 years funded. <b><u>2018 - \$6,060,895 – 2<sup>nd</sup> of 3 years funded.</u></b>	\$6,060,895 is needed to complete the project as authorized by DOE. DOE Budget Recommends - 6,060,895
<b>Jackson – Recommended by 2014 and 2016 SFCA Committee - New K-8 School</b>	\$57,179,422 To be funded over 3 years	2015 – <del>8,841,768</del> —Funding VETOED – 2016 – Not funded 2017 – 19,059,808 - 1 <sup>st</sup> of 3 years funded. <b><u>2018 - 2017 – 19,059,808 – 2<sup>nd</sup> of 3 years funded.</u></b>	\$19,059,807 is needed to complete the project. DOE is requesting the amount for the 3 <sup>rd</sup> and final year of funding.
<b>Gilchrist- Recommended by 2016 SFCA Review Committee – Renovation of Middle/High School</b>	\$16,410,688 needed to complete renovation	2017 – First Session to consider funding <b>2018 - \$2,000,000 provided in separate appropriation.</b>	\$14,410,688 is needed from the Legislature to complete the project. DOE is requesting \$7,205,344 for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> year of funding.
<b>Bradford – Recommended by 2016 SFCA Review Committee – New K-7 School</b>	\$39,534,188 total project cost	2017 – <del>\$6,236,330</del> Funding Vetoed <b><u>2018 – No funding was provided.</u></b>	\$39,534,188 is needed to complete the project. The district is requesting \$13,178,063 for the first of three years of funding. The DOE has submitted the Bradford Project without a request for funding due to limited availability of PECO funds.

**SSDCC Members for 2018-2019**

*Baker County School District*  
*Bradford County School District*  
*Calhoun County School District*  
*Citrus County School District*  
*Columbia County School District*  
*DeSoto County School District*  
*Dixie County School District*  
*Flagler County School District*  
*Franklin County School District*  
*Gadsden County School District*  
*Gilchrist County School District*  
*Glades County School District*  
*Gulf County School District*  
*Hamilton County School District*  
*Hardee County School District*  
*Heartland Educational Consortium*  
*Hendry County School District*  
*Hernando County School District*  
*Highlands County School District*  
*Holmes County School District*  
*Jackson County School District*  
*Jefferson County School District*  
*Lafayette County School District*  
*Levy County School District*  
*Liberty County School District*  
*Madison County School District*  
*Monroe County School District*  
*North East Florida Educational Consortium*  
*Okeechobee County School District*  
*Panhandle Area Education Consortium*  
*Putnam County School District*  
*Sumter County School District*  
*Suwannee County School District*  
*Taylor County School District*  
*Union School District*  
*Wakulla County School District*  
*Walton County School District*  
*Washington County School District*

**For Additional Information call 850-224-3180 Or Send an E-mail to:**

**[Cdoolin@nettally.com](mailto:Cdoolin@nettally.com)**